arXiv:1201.2556v2 [hep-th] 10 Apr 2012

Tree-level S-matrix elements from S-duality

Mohammad R. Garousi[1]

Department of Physics, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad,
P.O. Box 1436, Mashhad, Iran

Abstract

It has been speculated that the S-matrix elements of type IIB superstring theory satisfy the Ward identity associated with the S-duality. This indicates that a group of S-matrix elements at each loop level are invariant under the linear $SL(2,R)$ transformations. If one evaluates one component of such S-dual multiplet, then all other components can be found by the simple use of the linear $SL(2,R)$ transformations.

In this paper, we calculate the disk-level S-matrix element of one graviton(dilaton), one B-field and one gauge boson on the word volume of D$_3$-brane. The S-dual multiplet corresponding to the graviton(dilaton) amplitude has three(six) components. In particular, the graviton multiplet has the S-matrix element of one graviton, one R-R two-form and one gauge boson, and the dilaton multiplet has the S-matrix element of one R-R scalar, one B-field and one gauge boson vertex operators. We calculate explicitly these particular components and show that they are precisely the ones predicted by the S-duality. We have also found the low energy contact terms of the dilaton multiplet at order α'^2.

Keywords: S-duality, S-matrix, D$_3$-brane

---

[1] garousi@ferdowsi.um.ac.ir

1 Introduction

It is known that the type IIB superstring theory is invariant under S-duality [1, 3, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7]. This symmetry should be carried by the S-matrix elements. It has been speculated in [8] that the linear S-duality should appear in the tree-level S-matrix elements through the associated Ward identity. This classifies the tree-level S-matrix elements into S-dual multiplets. Each multiplet includes S-matrix elements which interchange under the linear $SL(2,R)$ transformation. The S-matrix elements of gravitons are singlets in this classification. The tree-level S-dual multiplets should then be dressed with the loops and the nonperturbative effects to becomes invariant under the full nonlinear S-duality transformations [9] - [28].

The S-duality holds order by order in α' and is nonperturbative in the string loop expansion [7]. Therefore, one has to α'-expand an amplitude in the Einstein frame and then study its S-duality at each order of α'. Let us consider the sphere-level S-matrix element of four gravitons whose S-duality has been studied in [9] - [25]. The leading α'-order contact term of this amplitude which has eight momenta is not invariant under the S-duality because of the presence of the dilaton factor $e^{-3\phi_0/2}$ in these couplings in the Einstein frame. It has been conjectured that the dilaton factor might be extended to the non-holomorphic Eisenstein series $E_{3/2}(\phi_0, C_0)$ after including the loops and the nonperturbative effects [9]. Similar conjecture has been made for the higher α' order terms [9] - [25]. However, the leading term of this expansion which is a massless pole, has no dilaton factor so this term by itself is invariant under the S-duality.

The above proposal for constructing the S-dual S-matrix element of four gravitons has been extended to the disk-level S-matrix element of two gravitons in [26, 27], to the disk-level S-matrix elements of some other external states in [28, 8, 29] and to the nonabelian S-matrix elements on the world volume of multiple D_3-branes in [30]. The leading α' order term in each of the abelian S-matrix elements is invariant under the S-duality without dressing it with the loops and the nonperturbative effects. We will show that such property is hold by the S-matrix elements that we will find in this paper as well.

In general, the evaluation of the tree-level S-matrix element of the Ramond-Ramond (R-R) vertex operators is much more complicated than the evaluation of the tree-level S-matrix element of the Neveu Schwarz-Neveu Schwarz (NS-NS) vertex operators because of the presence of the spin operator in the R-R vertex operator [31] . The S-duality relates the R-R two-form to the B-field and the R-R scalar to the dilaton. Using the observation that the S-matrix elements should satisfy the Ward identity corresponding to the S-duality [8], one can relate the S-matrix element of the R-R vertex operators to the S-matrix element of the NS-NS vertex operators. In this paper we would like to examine this idea by evaluating the disk-level S-matrix element of one graviton(dilaton), one B-field and one gauge boson and relating it to various S-matrix elements involving the R-R vertex operators.

The outline of the paper is as follows: In section 2, using the conformal field theory technique, we calculate explicitly the disk-level scattering amplitude of one graviton, one B-field and one gauge boson. In section 2.1, we find the S-dual multiplet corresponding to this amplitude which has three components. We will show that the leading α'-order terms of the multiplet are invariant under the S-duality. In section 3, we calculate the dilaton amplitudes corresponding to the above multiplet by replacing the graviton polarization with the dilaton polarization tensor. The dilaton amplitudes by themselves, however, do not form an S-dual multiplet because unlike the graviton the dilaton is not invariant under the S-duality. In section 3.1, we find the S-dual multiplet corresponding to the dilaton amplitudes. In section 4, we examine some of the amplitudes that we have found from the S-duality with explicit calculation and find exact agreement. In section 5, we find the low energy contact terms of the dilaton multiplet at order α'^2.

2 Graviton amplitude

The tree-level scattering amplitude of two gravitons and one transverse scalar has been calculated in [32]. In this section we are interested in the scattering amplitude of one graviton, one B-field and one gauge boson on the world-volume of a D_p-brane. This amplitude is given by the correlation function of their corresponding vertex operators on disk. Since the background charge of the world-sheet with topology of a disk is $Q_\phi = 2$ one has to choose the vertex operators in the appropriate pictures to produce the compensating charge $Q_\phi = -2$. The scattering amplitude may then be given by the following correlation function:

$$A \;\sim\; < V_G^{(0,0)}(\varepsilon_1, p_1) V_B^{(-1,-1)}(\varepsilon_2, p_2) V_A^{(0)}(\zeta_3, k_3) > \tag{1}$$

Using the doubling trick [33, 34], the vertex operators are given by the following integrals on the upper half z-plane:[1]

$$\begin{aligned}
V_G^{(0,0)} &= (\varepsilon_1 \cdot D)_{\mu_1\nu_1} \int d^2z_1 : (\partial X^{\mu_1} + ip_1 \cdot \psi\psi^{\mu_1}) e^{ip_1\cdot X} : (\partial X^{\nu_1} + ip_1 \cdot D \cdot \psi\psi^{\nu_1}) e^{ip_1 \cdot D \cdot X} : \\
V_B^{(-1,-1)} &= (\varepsilon_2 \cdot D)_{\mu_2\nu_2} \int d^2z_2 : \psi^{\mu_2} e^{-\phi} e^{ip_2\cdot X} : \psi^{\nu_2} e^{-\phi} e^{ip_2\cdot D\cdot X} : \\
V_A^{(0)} &= (\zeta_3)_a \int dx_3 : (\partial X^a + 2ik_3 \cdot \psi\psi^a) e^{2ik_3\cdot X}
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where the matrix D^μ_ν is diagonal with $+1$ in the world volume directions and -1 in the transverse direction. The polarization ε_1 is symmetric and the polarization ε_2 is antisymmetric. We will not impose the traceless condition for the graviton polarization. This will allow us in the next section to find the dilaton amplitude from the graviton amplitude by choosing the graviton polarization to be the flat metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. The on-shell conditions are $\varepsilon_i.p_i = p_i.\varepsilon_i = p_i.p_i = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\zeta_3.k_3 = k_3.k_3 = 0$.

---

[1] Our conventions set $\alpha' = 2$. Our index convention is that the Greek letters $(\mu, \nu, \cdots)$ are the indices of the space-time coordinates, the Latin letters $(a, d, c, \cdots)$ are the world-volume indices and the letters $(i, j, k, \cdots)$ are the normal bundle indices.

Using the standard world-sheet propagators

$$\begin{aligned} < X^\mu(x)X^\nu(y) > &= -\eta^{\mu\nu}\log(x-y) \\ < \psi^\mu(x)\psi^\nu(y) > &= -\frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{x-y} \\ < \phi(x)\phi(y) > &= -\log(x-y) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

one can calculate the correlators in (1). The result is an expression which satisfies the Ward identity associated with the gauge boson, *i.e.,* if one replaces the gauge boson polarization ζ_3 with its momentum k_3 the result is zero. So one can write the result in terms of the gauge boson field strength $F^{ab} = i(k_3^a\zeta_3^b - k_3^b\zeta_3^a)$. The result in the string frame is

$$\begin{aligned} A \sim 2T_p\Big[&2p_1.F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.p_1 I_1 + \left(-2p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.k_3 + p_1.F.\varepsilon_1.D.\varepsilon_2.p_1 + p_1.F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1\right) I_3 \\ &+ \left(2p_1.\varepsilon_2.F.\varepsilon_1.k_3 + p_1.\varepsilon_2.F.\varepsilon_1.D.p_1\right) I_3 + p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.p_2 I_4 - p_1.\varepsilon_2.F.\varepsilon_1.p_2 I_4 \\ &-p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.D.p_1 I_5 - 2p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.k_3 I_6 \mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_2\right] + p_2.\varepsilon_1.p_2 I_8 \mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_2\right] - \\ &2I_2\left(2k_3.\varepsilon_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1 - 2p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.\varepsilon_1.k_3 + p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1 + p_1.F.\varepsilon_1.D.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1\right. \\ &\left.+2k_3.\varepsilon_1.k_3\mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_2\right]\right) + I_{10}\left(p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.\varepsilon_1.p_2 - p_2.\varepsilon_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1 - 2p_2.\varepsilon_1.k_3\mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_2\right]\right) \\ &+I_{11}\left(-2p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.\varepsilon_1.D.p_1 + \left(p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.p_2 + p_2.\varepsilon_1.D.p_1 + p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.D.p_1\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_2\right]\right) \\ &+\left(-\left(p_1.p_2 + p_1.D.p_1\right)\left(I_2 - I_6\right) + p_1.k_3 I_6\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_2\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_1.D\right] - I_7\left(p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.p_1\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_1.D\right]\right. \\ &\left.+p_1.D.p_1\mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2\right]\right) + I_9\left(p_1.F.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_1.D\right] + p_1.D.p_1\mathrm{Tr}\left[F.\varepsilon_1.D.\varepsilon_2\right]\right)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $I_1, \cdots I_{11}$ are some integrals. The amplitude has also a delta Dirac function imposes the momentum conservation along the brane, *i.e.,*

$$p_1 + p_1.D + p_2 + p_2.D + 2k_3 = 0 \tag{5}$$

To have consistency with the T-duality, the amplitude should have no extra dilaton factor. The dilaton appears only in the brane tension which is consistent with T-duality, *i.e.,* $T_p\delta^{p+1}(\cdots) \to T_{p-1}\delta^p(\cdots)$. We will not fix the overall numeric factor of the amplitude. The explicit form of the integrals I_2, I_{11} are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \frac{K}{z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}} \\ I_{11} &= \frac{K}{z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and the other integrals appear in the Appendix. The notation z_{ij} is $z_{ij} = z_i - z_j$. There is a measure $\int d^2z_1 d^2z_2 dx_3$ for all the integrals which we have omitted. The function K is

$$K = z_{1\bar{1}}^{p_1.D.p_1}|z_{12}|^{2p_1.p_2}|z_{1\bar{2}}|^{2p_1.D.p_2}|z_{13}|^{4p_1.k_3} z_{2\bar{2}}^{p_2.D.p_2}|z_{23}|^{4p_2.k_3} \tag{7}$$

Note that the integral I_{11} is the same as I_2 in which the labels $1, 2$ are interchanged.

One may use the relation between disk amplitudes involving mixed open and closed strings, and disk amplitudes with only open strings [33, 34, 35] to relate the integrals (6) to the integrals that appear in the five open string amplitude [36]. However, we prefer to calculate the integrals (6) directly which is easy to perform. The integrals are invariant under the $SL(2,R)$ transformation of the upper half-plane. Using this symmetry one can map the result to unit disk, and then fix the symmetry by fixing $z_1 = 0$ and $x_3 = 1$. In the polar coordinate $z_2 = re^{i\theta}$, the θ-integral can be evaluated using the following formula [37]:

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \frac{\cos(n\theta)}{(1+x^2-2x\cos(\theta))^b} \;=\; 2\pi x^n \frac{\Gamma(b+n)}{n!\Gamma(b)} {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} b,\ n+b \\ n+1 \end{matrix} \;;\; x^2\right] \tag{8}$$

where $|x| < 1$, and the r-integral can be evaluated using the following formula [37]:

$${}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} 1+a,\ a_1,\ a_2 \\ 2+a+b,\ b_1 \end{matrix} \;;\; 1\right] B(1+a, 1+b) = \int_0^1 dx\, x^a (1-x)^b {}_2F_1\left[\begin{matrix} a_1,\ a_2 \\ b_1 \end{matrix} \;;\; x\right]$$

The integral I_2 then becomes

$$I_2(p_1,p_2,k_3) \;=\; \pi B(1+p_1.p_2, p_2.D.p_2)\, {}_3F_2\left[\begin{matrix} 1+p_1.p_2,\ 2p_1.k_3,\ 2p_1.k_3 \\ 1+p_1.p_2+p_2.D.p_2,\ 1 \end{matrix} \;;\; 1\right] \tag{9}$$

The integral I_{11} is

$$I_{11}(p_1,p_2,k_3) \;=\; I_2(p_2,p_1,k_3) \tag{10}$$

There are four Mandelstam variables $p_1.p_2$, $p_2.D.p_2$, $p_1.D.p_1$ and $p_1.k_3$ in I_2 and I_{11}. There are, however, three physical channels. $p_1.p_2$-channel which is a closed string channel, and $p_2.D.p_2$-channel and $p_1.D.p_1$-channel which are open string channels. The $p_1.D.p_1$-channel in I_2 is coming from the Hyper-geometric function. There is no open or closed string channel corresponding to the Mandelstam variable $p_1.k_3$. The integral I_2 has massless pole only in the $p_2.D.p_2$-channel. To study the low energy limit of the scattering amplitudes in section 5, we need the α'-expansion of the integrals which are given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 \;&=\; \frac{1}{p_2.D.p_2} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2\left(-p_1.p_2 + \frac{4(p_1.k_3)^2}{p_2.D.p_2}\right) + \cdots \\ I_{11} \;&=\; \frac{1}{p_1.D.p_1} + \frac{1}{6}\pi^2\left(-p_1.p_2 + \frac{4(p_1.k_3)^2}{p_1.D.p_1}\right) + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where we have also used the relation $p_2.k_3 = -p_1.k_3$. We have used the package [38] for expanding the Hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$.

If one calculate the other integrals, one would find similar results for them. Then one may use these functions to find some relations between them. Using these relations, one would find that the amplitude would satisfy the Ward identity associated with the graviton and B-field. Alternatively, one may impose the graviton and the B-field Ward identities to find these relations. We follow this latter method to find the relations:

$$\begin{aligned} &I_{10} = 2I_2 + I_3,\ I_4 = 2I_1 - I_3,\ I_8 = I_1 - I_2 - I_3, \\ &I_7 = I_2 + I_{11} + I_3,\ I_5 = I_3 - 2I_7,\ I_9 = I_2 + I_{11}, I_6 = I_2 - I_{11} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

which we have checked with the explicit form of the integrals. One also finds the following two equations involving the Mandelstam variables:

$$\begin{aligned} &4I_2 k_3.p_1 + (2I_2 + I_3)\, p_1.p_2 + (I_2 - I_{11})\, p_1.D.p_1 = 0, \\ &2I_3 k_3.p_1 + (-2I_1 + I_3)\, p_1.p_2 - (I_2 + I_{11})\, p_1.D.p_1 = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

which may be verified using by part integration. Using the above equations (12) and (13), one can write $I_1, I_3, \cdots I_{10}$ in terms of I_2 and I_{11}. The result is a lengthy expression. To compress the result, one may write the amplitude (4) in terms of field strength of the B-field, *i.e.,* $H = dB$. Note that there is no unique way to write the amplitude in terms of H, *i.e.,* using the on-shell condition one can find different expressions for the amplitude in term of H. We impose the condition that the final amplitude at low energy should have no double pole $1/(p_1.p_2 p_1.D.p_1)$ which is consistent with the low energy effective action. Using this condition, we find

$$A_{gBF} \;\sim\; T_p(I_{11}A_{11} + I_2 A_2) \tag{14}$$

where A_{11} is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{11} \;=\; F^{ab}\Big[&- 4p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.N.H_{ab} + 2p_1.N.H_{ab}\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.D] + \frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2}\Big(2k_3.\varepsilon_1.H_{ab} \\ &-4p_1.H_{\mu a}\,(\varepsilon_1.N)_b{}^{\mu} + 2p_2.\varepsilon_1.N.H_{ab} - p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.H_{ab} + p_1.H_{ab}\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.D] \Big) \\ &-\frac{2p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2}\Big(p_1.k_3\, p_2.\varepsilon_1.H_{ab} - p_1.D.H_{\mu a}(p_2.\varepsilon_1)_b\,(p_1)^{\mu} \\ &+p_1.D.p_1\, p_1.H_{\mu a}\,(\varepsilon_1)_b{}^{\mu} + p_1.D.H_{\mu\nu}(p_1)_a\,(\varepsilon_1)_b{}^{\mu}\,(p_1)^{\nu} \Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

and A_2 is

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 \;=\; F^{ab}\Big[&- 8k_3.\varepsilon_1.V.H_{ab} - 2p_1.V.H_{ab}\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.D] - 16p_1.V.H_{ca}(\varepsilon_1)_b{}^{c} - \frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\Big(2k_3.\varepsilon_1.H_{ab} \\ &+4p_1.H_{\mu a}\,(\varepsilon_1.V)_b{}^{\mu} - 2p_2.\varepsilon_1.V.H_{ab} - p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.H_{ab} + p_1.H_{ab}\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.D] \Big) \\ &+\frac{2p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2}\Big(p_1.k_3\, p_2.\varepsilon_1.H_{ab} - p_1.D.H_{\mu a}(p_2.\varepsilon_1)_b\,(p_1)^{\mu} \\ &+p_1.D.p_1\, p_1.H_{\mu a}\,(\varepsilon_1)_b{}^{\mu} + p_1.D.H_{\mu\nu}(p_1)_a\,(\varepsilon_1)_b{}^{\mu}\,(p_1)^{\nu} \Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $H^{\mu\nu\rho}=i(p_2^\mu\varepsilon_2^{\nu\rho}+p_2^\rho\varepsilon_2^{\mu\nu}+p_2^\nu\varepsilon_2^{\rho\mu})$, the matrix V is the flat metric of the world volume, *i.e.,* $V^{ab}=\eta^{ab}$, and N is the flat metric of the transverse space, *i.e.,* $N^{ij}=\eta^{ij}$.

The amplitude does not satisfy the Ward identity corresponding to the graviton unless one rewrite the field strength H in terms of B. So one can not write the amplitude in terms of field strengths F, H and the curvature R. However, some of them can be written as RHF. For example, the terms that have $p_1.D.H_{\mu\nu}$ satisfy the Ward identity associated with the graviton, so they can be rewritten as RHF. One should not expect that all terms to be rewritten as RHF because the metric can appear as contracting the indices of the covariant derivatives of $\sqrt{-g}HF$ as well as in the definition of the covariant derivatives. In general, using the fact that the contact terms of the S-matrix elements must produce couplings in effective field theory which must be in terms of covariant derivative of field strengths, one expects a S-matrix element to be written in terms of field strengths except for the graviton. For graviton, only some terms of the amplitude can be written as curvature.

As a check of the result in (14), we note that if one examines the Feynman diagrams in low energy field theory, there would be no double pole $1/(p_1.p_2)^2$. Therefore, the double pole in the amplitude (14) must be canceled. Using the expansion (11), one observes that the double pole in A_{11} and A_2 in fact is canceled in the whole amplitude (14). We have expand the integrals I_2 and I_{11} up to $(\alpha')^6$ and found no double pole. Moreover, taking in to account that the integral I_2 has simple pole in $p_2.D.p_2$ and the integral I_{11} has simple pole in $p_1.D.p_1$, one observes that the amplitude has no double pole $1/(p_1.p_2p_1.D.p_1)$ or $1/(p_1.p_2p_2.D.p_2)$ either.

2.1 Graviton S-dual multiplet

To study the S-duality of the amplitude (14), we have to convert it to the Einstein frame. The relation between the string frame metric which is used in (14), and the Einstein frame is $g^S_{\mu\nu}=e^{\phi_0/2}g^E_{\mu\nu}$. The amplitudes (14) in the Einstein frame is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{gBF} &\sim I_{11}T_3e^{-3\phi_0/2}F^{ab}\Big[-4p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.N.H_{ab}+\cdots\Big] \\ &\quad +I_2T_3e^{-3\phi_0/2}F^{ab}\Big[-8k_3.\varepsilon_1.V.H_{ab}+\cdots\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where dots represent the other terms in (15) and (16). The dilaton factor $e^{-\phi_0/2}$ must be multiplied to each Mandelstam variables in the integrals I_{11}, I_2 in the Einstein frame. For example, $p_1.p_2$ in the string frame must be replaced by $p_1.p_2\,e^{-\phi_0/2}$ in the Einstein frame.

Under S-duality, graviton is invariant and the following objects transform as doublets [43, 44, 45]:

$$\mathcal{B}\;\equiv\;\begin{pmatrix}B\\C^{(2)}\end{pmatrix}\rightarrow(\Lambda^{-1})^T\begin{pmatrix}B\\C^{(2)}\end{pmatrix}\;\;;\;\;\Lambda=\begin{pmatrix}p&q\\r&s\end{pmatrix} \tag{18}$$

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} (*F) \\ e^{-\phi_0}F - C_0(*F) \end{pmatrix} \to (\Lambda^{-1})^T \begin{pmatrix} (*F) \\ e^{-\phi_0}F - C_0(*F) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $(*F)_{ab} = \epsilon_{abcd}F^{cd}/2$, and ϕ_0 and C_0 are the constant dilaton and R-R scalar, respectively. Consider the $SL(2,R)$ matrix $\mathcal{M}$

$$\mathcal{M} = e^{\phi}\begin{pmatrix} |\tau|^2 & C \\ C & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{19}$$

where C is the R-R scalar and $\tau = C + ie^{-\phi}$. This matrix transforms under the $SL(2,R)$ transformation as[2]

$$\mathcal{M} \to \Lambda\mathcal{M}\Lambda^T \tag{20}$$

When the dilaton and the R-R scalar are constant, one finds the following S-dual multiplet:

$$(*\mathcal{F})^T\mathcal{M}_0\mathcal{B} = -e^{-\phi_0}FB + (*F)C^{(2)} + C_0(*F)B \tag{21}$$

where the matrix $\mathcal{M}_0$ is the matrix $\mathcal{M}$ in which the dilaton and the R-R scalar are constant. The minus of amplitude (17) corresponds to the first component of the above multiplet. The amplitude corresponding to the second component is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{gC^{(2)}F} \sim\ & \frac{1}{2}I_{11}T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}\Big[-4p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.N.F^{(3)}_{ab} + \cdots\Big] \\ & +\frac{1}{2}I_2T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}\Big[-8k_3.\varepsilon_1.V.F^{(3)}_{ab} + \cdots\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where $F^{(3)} = dC^{(2)}$. Finally, the amplitude corresponding to the third component of the multiplet (21) is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{gBFC^{(0)}} \sim\ & \frac{1}{2}I_{11}T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}C_0\Big[-4p_1.D.\varepsilon_1.N.H_{ab} + \cdots\Big] \\ & +\frac{1}{2}I_2T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}C_0\Big[-8k_3.\varepsilon_1.V.H_{ab} + \cdots\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In above amplitude, the R-R scalar must be constant. The polarization of this constant R-R scalar is denoted by C_0. This polarization is one, but for clarity we keep it as C_0.

The amplitudes (22) and (23) are the S-duality prediction for the S-matrix elements. The amplitude (23) should appear in the S-matrix element of one R-R scalar, one graviton, one B-field and one gauge boson which is related to the amplitude of seven open strings [35]. In the limit that the momentum of the R-R scalar goes to zero, this amplitude should be reduced to (23) and some massless poles. We leave the details of this calculation for the future works. The amplitude (22), however, is a 5-point function. In section 4 we explicitly calculate the disk-level S-matrix element of one graviton, one R-R two-form and one gauge boson. We will show that the result is precisely agree with the amplitude (22).

---

[2] Note that the matrix $\mathcal{M}$ here is the inverse of the matrix $\mathcal{M}$ in [43].

Using the fact that the leading α' order terms of the integrals I_2, I_{11} are massless pole, there is a dilaton factor $e^{\phi_0/2}$ in the leading order terms of these integrals in the Einstein frame. Therefore, the leading order terms of the amplitudes (22), (23) have no dilaton factor and the leading order term of the amplitude (17) has the dilaton factor $e^{-\phi_0}$. They are consistent with the multiplet (21). This indicates that the leading α'-order terms of the multiplet (17)-(22)-(23) are invariant under the S-duality. It seems this symmetry is carried by any abelian tree-level S-matrix elements. The extra dilaton factors in all higher order terms may be dressed with the loops and the nonperturbative effects [9] - [28] to become invariant under the full nonlinear S-duality.

3 Dilaton amplitude

The dilaton amplitude can be read from the graviton amplitude by replacing the graviton polarization with

$$(\varepsilon_1)_{\mu\nu} \;=\; \eta_{\mu\nu} - \ell_\mu (p_1)_\nu - \ell_\nu (p_1)_\mu \tag{24}$$

where the auxiliary field ℓ satisfies $\ell.p_1 = 1$ and should be canceled in the final amplitude. The graviton amplitude (4) satisfies the Ward identity, *i.e.,* if one replaces the graviton polarization $(\varepsilon_1)_{\mu\nu}$ with $\zeta_\mu(p_1)_\nu + \zeta_\nu(p_1)_\mu$ where ζ_μ is an arbitrary vector, the amplitude becomes zero. Since we have not used the traceless condition for the graviton, it is obvious that the replacement $-\ell_\mu(p_1)_\nu - \ell_\nu(p_1)_\mu$ for the graviton polarization gives zero result. So to find the dilaton amplitude we have to replace the graviton polarization in the amplitude (4) with $\eta_{\mu\nu}$. The amplitude can then be written in terms of H. The result for D$_3$-brane in the Einstein frame is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi BF} \;\sim\;& 4\phi_1 T_3 e^{-3\phi_0/2} F^{ab}\Bigg(I_{11}\Big[\frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2} p_1.V.H_{ba} + \frac{p_1.k_3\, p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2} p_1.H_{ba}\Big] \\ & -I_2\Big[4p_1.V.H_{ba} + \frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\left(2p_1.H_{ba} - p_1.N.H_{ba}\right) + \frac{p_1.k_3\, p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2} p_1.H_{ba}\Big]\Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where we have also used the relation $k_3.H_{ab}F^{ab} = F^{ab}k_3^c H_{abc} = 0$. In above equation ϕ_1 is the polarization of the dilaton which is one. Note that there is no massless open string pole $1/p_1.D.p_1$ which is consistent with field theory since there is no linear dilaton coupling for D$_3$-brane in the Einstein frame. This is unlike the graviton amplitude (14) which has such pole and is reproduced in field theory by the pull-back and by the Taylor expansion of the linear coupling of graviton to the D-brane, *i.e.,* $\partial_a X^i h^a{}_i$ and $X^i \partial_i h_a{}^a$ where h is the graviton and X^i is the transverse scalar field on the world volume of D-brane.

Similarly, one can find the dilaton amplitudes corresponding to the components (22) and (23). The dilaton amplitude corresponding to (22) is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi C^{(2)}F} &\sim 2\phi_1 T_3 e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}\Bigg(I_{11}\Big[\frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2}p_1.V.F^{(3)}_{ba}+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.F^{(3)}_{ba}\Big] \\ &\quad -I_2\Big[4p_1.V.F^{(3)}_{ba}+\frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\left(2p_1.F^{(3)}_{ba}-p_1.N.F^{(3)}_{ba}\right)+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.F^{(3)}_{ba}\Big]\Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The dilaton amplitude corresponding to (23) is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi BFC^{(0)}} &\sim 2\phi_1 C_0 T_3 e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}\Bigg(I_{11}\Big[\frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2}p_1.V.H_{ba}+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.H_{ba}\Big] \\ &\quad -I_2\Big[4p_1.V.H_{ba}+\frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\left(2p_1.H_{ba}-p_1.N.H_{ba}\right)+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.H_{ba}\Big]\Bigg) \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where the R-R scalar is again constant.

Even though the gravity amplitudes (22), (23) and minus of (17) form an S-dual multiplet, the corresponding dilaton amplitudes (26), (27) and (25) do not from an S-dual multiplet. This is resulted from the fact that unlike the graviton, the dilaton is not invariant under the S-duality. Therefore, one has to add more amplitudes to find an S-dual multiplet. In the next section we will find this multiplet.

3.1 Dilaton S-dual multiplet

To find the S-dual multiplet corresponding to the amplitudes (25), (26) and (27), consider the variation of the matrix (19) which is given by

$$\delta\mathcal{M}=\begin{pmatrix} -(e^{-\phi}-C^2e^{\phi})\delta\phi+2Ce^{\phi}\delta C & Ce^{\phi}\delta\phi+e^{\phi}\delta C \\ Ce^{\phi}\delta\phi+e^{\phi}\delta C & e^{\phi}\delta\phi \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

This transforms under the $SL(2,R)$ transformation as

$$\delta\mathcal{M}\rightarrow\Lambda\delta\mathcal{M}\Lambda^T \tag{29}$$

Consider the case that the variations are the external states, *i.e.,* $\delta\phi=\phi_1$ and $\delta C=C_1$, and the dilaton and the axion are the constant background fields ϕ_0 and C_0, respectively. Using this matrix and the doublets (18), one finds the following S-dual multiplet:

$$\begin{aligned} (*\mathcal{F})^T\delta\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B} &= e^{-\phi_0}\phi_1 FB+\phi_1(*F)C^{(2)}+C_0\phi_1(*F)B \\ &\quad +C_1(*F)B-e^{-\phi_0}C_0C_1FB-e^{-\phi_0}C_1FC^{(2)} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The amplitudes (25), (26) and (27), correspond to the first, the second and the third components of the multiplet (30), respectively. The amplitude associated with the fourth component is

$$\begin{aligned}A_{C^{(0)}BF} \;\sim\;& 2C_1T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}\epsilon^{abcd}F_{cd}\bigg(I_{11}\bigg[\frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2}p_1.V.H_{ba}+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.H_{ba}\bigg]\\&-I_2\bigg[4p_1.V.H_{ba}+\frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\left(2p_1.H_{ba}-p_1.N.H_{ba}\right)+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.H_{ba}\bigg]\bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where C_1 is the polarization of the R-R scalar which is one. The amplitude corresponding to the fifth component is

$$\begin{aligned}A_{C^{(0)}BFC^{(0)}} \;\sim\;& -4C_1C_0T_3e^{-3\phi_0/2}F^{ab}\bigg(I_{11}\bigg[\frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2}p_1.V.H_{ba}+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.H_{ba}\bigg]\\&-I_2\bigg[4p_1.V.H_{ba}+\frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\left(2p_1.H_{ba}-p_1.N.H_{ba}\right)+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.H_{ba}\bigg]\bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

in which one of the R-R scalars is constant. And the amplitude associated with the last component is

$$\begin{aligned}A_{C^{(0)}C^{(2)}F} \;\sim\;& -4C_1T_3e^{-3\phi_0/2}F^{ab}\bigg(I_{11}\bigg[\frac{p_1.D.p_1}{p_1.p_2}p_1.V.F^{(3)}_{ba}+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_1.D.p_1}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.F^{(3)}_{ba}\bigg]\\&-I_2\bigg[4p_1.V.F^{(3)}_{ba}+\frac{p_2.D.p_2}{p_1.p_2}\Big(2p_1.F^{(3)}_{ba}-p_1.N.F^{(3)}_{ba}\Big)+\frac{p_1.k_3\,p_2.D.p_2}{(p_1.p_2)^2}p_1.F^{(3)}_{ba}\bigg]\bigg)\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The amplitudes (25), (26), (27), (31), (32) and (33) form an S-dual multiplet. Since the leading α'-order terms of the integrals I_2 and I_{11} have the dilaton factor $e^{-\phi_0/2}$, the leading terms of the S-dual multiplet have no extra dilaton factor so they are invariant under the S-duality. The above results are the S-duality prediction for the S-matrix elements. The explicit calculation of the amplitudes (32) and (33) needs the correlation function of four spin operators and some world-sheet fermions [46, 47] which we leave them for future works. The amplitude (31) is a 5-point function that needs the correlation function of two spin operators and some fermions. In the next section we calculate this amplitude explicitly.

4 Testing the multiplets

The scattering amplitude of one R-R n-form, one NS-NS and one gauge boson may be given by the following correlation function:

$$\mathcal{A} \;\sim\; < V_{RR}^{(-1/2,-3/2)}(\varepsilon_1^{(n)},p_1)V_{NSNS}^{(0,0)}(\varepsilon_2,p_2)V_A^{(0)}(\zeta_3,k_3) > \tag{34}$$

where the vertex operators are [39, 33]

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{RR}^{(-1/2,-3/2)} &= (P_{-}H_{1(n)}M_p)^{AB}\int d^2z_1 :e^{-\phi(z_1)/2}S_A(z_1)e^{ip_1\cdot X}:e^{-3\phi(\bar{z}_1)/2}S_B(\bar{z}_1)e^{ip_1\cdot D\cdot X}: \\
V_{NSNS}^{(0,0)} &= (\varepsilon_2\cdot D)_{\mu\nu}\int d^2z_2 :(\partial X^\mu+ip_2\cdot\psi\psi^\mu)e^{ip_2\cdot X}:(\partial X^\nu+ip_2\cdot D\cdot\psi\psi^\nu)e^{ip_2\cdot D\cdot X}: \\
V_{A}^{(0)} &= (\zeta_3)_a\int dx_3 :(\partial X^a+2ik_3\cdot\psi\psi^a)e^{2ik_3\cdot X}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the indices $A, B, \cdots$ are the Dirac spinor indices and $P_{-}=\frac{1}{2}(1-\gamma_{11})$ is the chiral projection operator which makes the calculation of the gamma matrices to be with the full 32×32 Dirac matrices of the ten dimensions. In the R-R vertex operator, $H_{1(n)}$ and M_p are

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{1(n)} &= \frac{1}{n!}\varepsilon_{1\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}\gamma^{\mu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\mu_n} \\
M_p &= \frac{\pm 1}{(p+1)!}\epsilon_{a_0\cdots a_p}\gamma^{a_0}\cdots\gamma^{a_p}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where ϵ is the volume $(p+1)$-form of the D_p-brane and ε_1 is the polarization of the R-R form.

Using the propagators (3), one can easily calculate the X and ϕ correlators in (34). To find the correlator of ψ, we use the following Wick-like rule for the correlation function involving an arbitrary number of ψ's and two S's [40, 41, 42]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&<:S_A(z_1):S_B(\bar{z}_1):\psi^{\mu_1}(z_2)\cdots\psi^{\mu_{n-1}}(z_n):>= \\
&\frac{1}{2^{n/2}}\frac{(z_{1\bar{1}})^{n/2-5/4}}{\sqrt{z_{21}z_{2\bar{1}}}\cdots\sqrt{z_{n1}z_{n\bar{1}}}}\Big\{(\gamma^{\mu_{n-1}\cdots\mu_1}C^{-1})_{AB}+\mathcal{P}(z_3,z_2)\eta^{\mu_2\mu_1}(\gamma^{\mu_{n-1}\cdots\mu_3}C^{-1})_{AB} \\
&+\mathcal{P}(z_3,z_2)\mathcal{P}(z_5,z_4)\eta^{\mu_2\mu_1}\eta^{\mu_4\mu_3}(\gamma^{\mu_{n-1}\cdots\mu_5}C^{-1})_{AB}+\cdots\pm\text{perms}\Big\}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where dots mean sum over all possible contractions. In above equation, $\gamma^{\mu_n\cdots\mu_1}$ is the totally antisymmetric combination of the gamma matrices and $\mathcal{P}(z_i,z_j)$ is given by the Wick-like contraction

$$\mathcal{P}(z_i,z_j)\eta^{\mu\nu} \;=\; [\widehat{\psi^\mu(z_i),\psi^\nu(z_j)}]=\eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{z_{i1}z_{j\bar{1}}+z_{j1}z_{i\bar{1}}}{z_{ij}z_{1\bar{1}}} \tag{38}$$

Combining the gamma matrices coming from the correlation (37) with the gamma matrices in the R-R vertex operator, one finds the amplitude (34) has the following trace:

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n,p,m) &= (H_{1(n)}M_p)^{AB}(\gamma^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m}C^{-1})_{AB}A_{[\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m]} \\
&= \frac{1}{n!(p+1)!}\varepsilon_{1\nu_1\cdots\nu_n}\epsilon_{a_0\cdots a_p}A_{[\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m]}\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma^{\nu_1}\cdots\gamma^{\nu_n}\gamma^{a_0}\cdots\gamma^{a_p}\gamma^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m})
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where $A_{[\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m]}$ is an antisymmetric combination of the momenta and the polarizations of the NS-NS field and the gauge boson. The trace (39) can be evaluated for specific values of n and p. Since we are going to test the amplitudes that have been found in the previous sections by S-duality, we consider only the case of $p=3$.

4.1 R-R two-form amplitude

In this section we calculate the amplitude for the R-R two-form, *i.e.,* $n=2$. The trace (39) then becomes

$$T(2,3,m) \;=\; \frac{1}{2!4!}\varepsilon_{1\nu_1\nu_2}\epsilon_{a_0\cdots a_3}A_{[\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m]}\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma^{\nu_1}\gamma^{\nu_2}\gamma^{a_0}\cdots\gamma^{a_3}\gamma^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m}) \tag{40}$$

When both indices of the R-R potential are along the transverse space, $m=6$. The trace then becomes

$$T(2,3,6) \;=\; -\frac{6!}{2!4!}(\varepsilon_1)_{ij}\epsilon_{a_0a_1a_2a_3}A^{[ija_0a_1a_2a_3]} \tag{41}$$

There is only one such contribution to the amplitude (34). So the amplitude can easily be calculated, *i.e.,*

$$\mathcal{A} \;\sim\; \varepsilon_1^{ij}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_3}(\varepsilon_2.D)_{[ij}(\zeta_3)_{a_0}(p_2)_{a_1}(p_2.D)_{a_2}(k_3)_{a_3]}J \tag{42}$$

where the integral J is

$$J \;=\; \frac{z_{1\bar{1}}}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \tag{43}$$

Since the momentum and the polarization of the gauge boson are along the world-volume, the transverse index in the antisymmetric combination in (42) can not be carried by ζ_3 and k_3. Moreover, the two momenta p_2 and $p_2.D$ can not both be contracted with the volume form, so the only possible case is when one indices of the NS-NS polarization is along the brane and the other one is along the transverse space. In this case the result becomes zero when the NS-NS polarization is antisymmetric. For the graviton, it becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{C^{(2)}gF} \;\sim\; T_3\varepsilon_1^{ij}\epsilon^{a_0\cdots a_3}(\varepsilon_2)_{ia_0}(p_2)_j(p_2)_{a_1}F_{a_2a_3}J$$

where we have also normalized the amplitude by the D_3-brane tension. Note that the amplitude is consistent with T-duality, so there would be no dilaton factor in the string frame. The above amplitude in the Einstein frame becomes

$$\mathcal{A}_{C^{(2)}gF} \;\sim\; T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}(*F)^{ab}(p_2)_a(p_2\!\cdot\!\varepsilon_1\!\cdot\!\varepsilon_2)_bJ \tag{44}$$

This amplitude should be compared with the corresponding term in the amplitude (22). We are not going to rewrite the amplitudes in this section in terms of the R-R field strength, so we have to compare the above amplitude with the amplitude predicted by imposing the S-duality on the NS-NS amplitude (4).

So we write the amplitude (4) for the special case that the B-field has polarization only in the transverse space which is

$$A_{gB_{ij}F} \;\sim\; 4T_pp_1.F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.p_1\left(I_1-I_2-I_3\right) \tag{45}$$

Using the relations (12), the integrals add up to I_8. This integral is

$$I_8 \;=\; \frac{z_{2\bar{2}}}{z_{12}z_{32}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \tag{46}$$

The S-duality then predicates the following amplitude, in the Einstein frame, for the S-matrix element of one graviton, one R-R two-form and one gauge boson on the world volume of the D_3-brane:

$$A_{gC^{(2)}F} \;\sim\; 4I_8T_3e^{-\phi_0/2}p_1.(*F).\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.p_1 \tag{47}$$

where ε_1 is the polarization of the graviton and ε_2 is the polarization of the R-R two-form. The above amplitude is exactly the amplitude (44) in which the labels of the graviton and the R-R two-form are interchanged.

When one index of the R-R potential is along the world-volume and the other one is along the transverse space, $m = 4$. In this case the trace (40) becomes

$$T(2,3,4) \;=\; \varepsilon_1^{a_0}{}_i\epsilon_{a_0a_1a_2a_3}A^{[ia_1a_2a_3]} \tag{48}$$

There are many such terms in the amplitude (34). In fact performing the correlators in the amplitude (34), one finds the following result in terms of the gauge field strength:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} \sim\; & T_3F_{ab}\Big[(p_2.D)_{\beta_4}(p_2)_{\beta_3}\Big(-4I_8(\gamma^{a\beta_3\beta_4\mu_3}C^{-1})_{AB}(\varepsilon_2)_{\mu_3}{}^{b}+J_4(\gamma^{ab\beta_3\beta_4}C^{-1})_{AB}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_2.D\right]\Big)\\ &+(\gamma^{ab\beta_3\mu_3}C^{-1})_{AB}\Big((p_2.D)_{\beta_3}\Big(4J_5(k_3.\varepsilon_2.D)_{\mu_3}+2I_1(p_1.\varepsilon_2.D)_{\mu_3}+J_4(p_2.D.\varepsilon_2)_{\mu_3}\\ &-2I_2(p_1.D.\varepsilon_2.D)_{\mu_3}\Big)-(p_2)_{\beta_3}\Big(-4J_5^*(k_3.\varepsilon_2)_{\mu_3}+2I_1(p_1.\varepsilon_2)_{\mu_3}-2I_2(p_1.D.\varepsilon_2)_{\mu_3}\\ &+J_4(p_2.D.\varepsilon_2.D)_{\mu_3}\Big)\Big)+p_2.D.p_2J_4(\gamma^{ab\mu_3\mu_4}C^{-1})_{AB}(\varepsilon_2.D)_{\mu_3\mu_4}\\ &+2(\gamma^{a\beta_3\mu_3\mu_4}C^{-1})_{AB}(\varepsilon_2.D)_{\mu_3\mu_4}(p_2)_b\left(J_0(p_2.D)_{\beta_3}-J_0^*(p_2)_{\beta_3}\right)\Big](H_{1(2)}M_3)^{AB}\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where integrals J_0, J_4, J_5 are given in the appendix. Using the condition that one of the indices of the antisymmetric gamma matrices is transverse, performing the trace over the gamma matrices and using the relations $(*F)_{ab} = \epsilon_{abcd}F^{cd}/2$ and $F_{ab} = -\epsilon_{abcd}(*F)^{cd}/2$, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} \sim\; & 4T_3(\varepsilon_1)_{a_0}{}^{i}\Big[(p_2)_i(p_2)_c\Big(I_8\epsilon^{a_0adc}\epsilon_{abef}(*F)^{ef}(\varepsilon_2)_d{}^{b}+2J_4(*F)^{a_0c}\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_2.V\right]\Big)+\\ &\Big((2p_2)_i\left(I_8(k_3.\varepsilon_2)_c-J(p_1.N.\varepsilon_2)_c\right)-(2p_2)_c\left(I_8(k_3.\varepsilon_2)_i-J(p_1.N.\varepsilon_2)_i+2J_4(p_2.V\varepsilon_2)_i\right)\\ &+2J_4p_2.V.p_2(\varepsilon_2)_{ic}\Big)(*F)^{a_0c}+I_8\epsilon^{a_0adc}\epsilon_{abef}(*F)^{ef}(p_2)^b(p_2)_d(\varepsilon_2)_{ic}\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where we have also used the relations $I_1 - I_2 = J_4$, $I_1 + I_2 = J$, $J_0 - J_0^* = -2I_8$ and $J_5 - J_5^* - J_4 = -I_8$. To compare the above amplitude with corresponding amplitude predicted by the S-duality, we have to write both amplitudes either in terms of the matrices η, D or in terms of the world volume and the transverse matrices V, N. Since we have specified the indices of the R-R potential in terms of the world volume and transverse indices, we have to write the amplitudes in terms of V and N. In above amplitude we have written the indices in terms of the world volume and the transverse indices.

The amplitude predicted by the S-duality has no term with square of the world volume form, so we have to use the following identity:

$$\epsilon^{abcd}\epsilon^{e}{}_{b}{}^{fg} = -\begin{vmatrix} \eta^{ae} & \eta^{af} & \eta^{ag} \\ \eta^{ce} & \eta^{cf} & \eta^{cg} \\ \eta^{de} & \eta^{df} & \eta^{dg} \end{vmatrix} \tag{51}$$

to write the first and the last terms in (50) as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon^{a_0adc}\epsilon_{abef}(*F)^{ef}(\varepsilon_2)_d{}^b &= 2(\varepsilon_2.*F)^{a_0c} - 2(\varepsilon_2.*F)^{ca_0} - 2(*F)^{a_0c}\mathrm{Tr}[\varepsilon_2.V] \\ \epsilon^{a_0adc}\epsilon_{abef}(*F)^{ef}(p_2)^b(p_2)_d &= 2p_2^{a_0}(p_2.*F)^c - 2p_2^c(p_2.*F)^{a_0} - 2p_2.V.p_2(*F)^{a_0c} \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Now one can compare the amplitude (50) with the amplitude (22) predicted by the S-duality. The amplitude (22) in terms of the RR potential is the same as (4) in which the gauge field strength F is replaced by $(*F)$, the ε_2 is the polarization of the RR potential and ε_1 is the polarization of the graviton. For the case that the RR potential has one transverse and one tangent indices, the amplitude in terms of the matrices V, N becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \sim\ & T_3\Big[4p_1.*F.\varepsilon_1.N.\varepsilon_2.V.p_1J + 4p_1.*F.\varepsilon_1.V.\varepsilon_2.N.p_1J \\ & +4p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.N.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2I_8 + 4p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.N.\varepsilon_1.V.p_2J \\ & -4p_1.N.\varepsilon_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.V.p_2J - 4p_1.N.\varepsilon_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2I_8 \\ & +8p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.N.\varepsilon_1.V.p_1(I_7+I_9) \\ & -4\,(I_7+I_9)\,(p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.N.p_1\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.V] + p_1.V.p_1\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_1.N.\varepsilon_2])\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where we have also used the relations $4I_2+2I_3+I_4-I_{10}=2J$, $2I_2+I_3-I_5+2I_{11}=2(I_7+I_9)$, $I_{10}-I_4=-2I_8$ and $I_1+I_2=J$. Using the explicit form of the integrals, one also finds

$$I_8(p_1,p_2,k_3) - J_4(p_1,p_2,k_3) = I_7(p_2,p_1,k_3) + I_9(p_2,p_1,k_3)\ \ ;\ \ J(p_1,p_2,k_3) = I_8(p_2,p_1,k_3)$$

Using the above relations and using the conservation of momentum to rewrite $k_3.\varepsilon_2$ in (50) as $-p_2.V.\varepsilon_2 - p_1.V.\varepsilon_2$, one finds the amplitude (50) is exactly the amplitude (53) in which the labels of the graviton and the R-R two-form are interchanged.

When both indicies of the R-R polarization are along the world-volume, the value of m in the trace (40) can be $m=2$ and $m=4$. However, the trace for the $m=4$ case has the following structure:

$$T(2,3,4) = 4\varepsilon_1^{a_0}{}_b\epsilon_{a_0a_1a_2a_3}A^{[ba_1a_2a_3]} \tag{54}$$

which can be shown it is zero by writing the RR polarization as $(\varepsilon_1)_{a_0b} = -(*\varepsilon_1)_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon_{a_0b}{}^{\alpha\beta}/2$ and using the identity (51). So the trace is nonzero only for $m = 2$ which is

$$\begin{aligned} T(2,3,2) &= \frac{1}{2!}\varepsilon_1^{a_0a_1}\epsilon_{a_0a_1a_2a_3}A^{[a_2a_3]} \\ &= -(*\varepsilon_1)_{a_2a_3}A^{[a_2a_3]} \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

In this case also there are many such terms in the amplitude (34). Performing the correlators in (34), one finds the following result in terms of the gauge field strength:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \sim\; & -8p_2.F.\varepsilon_2.*\varepsilon_1.p_2J_6 - 4p_1.\varepsilon_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.p_2J_{11} - 4p_1.D.\varepsilon_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.p_2J_{13} \\ & -8k_3.\varepsilon_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.p_2J_{15} - 4p_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.p_1J_{16} - 4p_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1J_{17} \\ & +4k_3.\varepsilon_2.p_1J_7\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1] - 4k_3.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1J_8\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1] + p_1.\varepsilon_2.p_1J_{10}\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1] \\ & -p_1.D.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1J_{12}\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1] - 4k_3.\varepsilon_2.k_3J_{15}\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1] - 2p_1.\varepsilon_2.D.p_1J_{15}\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1] \\ & -4p_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.p_2J_9\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_2.D] + p_2.D.p_2J_{19}\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1]\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_2.D] + 8p_2.F.*\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.k_3J_{18} \\ & +4J_{20}\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1]\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_2.D] - 4p_2.D.p_2J_9\mathrm{Tr}\,[F.*\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2] + 8p_2.F.\varepsilon_2.*\varepsilon_1.p_2J_{14} \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where $J_6, \cdots, J_{20}$ are some integrals that appear in the Appendix. While it was straightforward to write all above terms in terms of gauge field strength, the first term is in fact in the form of

$$-\frac{1}{2}I\zeta.\epsilon_2.*\varepsilon_1.p_2 + J_6p_1.\zeta k_3.\varepsilon_2.*\varepsilon_1.p_2 \tag{57}$$

where ζ is the polarization of the gauge boson and I is an integral which appears in the Appendix. The gauge symmetry Ward identity dictates that the integrals I and J_6 must satisfy the relation $I = 2p_1.k_3J_6$ which may be verified using by part integration. Using this relation, the above terms can be written as the first term in (56). As a check of our calculation, we have imposed the Ward identity corresponding to the graviton. We have found the relations $J_{16} = 2J_9 - J_{11}$, $J_{17} = 2J_9 - J_{13}$ and $J_{18} = -2J_9 + J_{15}$ which can easily be checked with the explicit form of the integrals. This Ward identity gives also some other relations in which the Mandelstam variables appear, *e.g.,*

$$J_{20} = \frac{1}{4}\left(-p_1.p_2J_7 - p_1.D.p_2J_7 - p_2.D.p_2J_7 + p_1.p_2J_{10} - p_1.D.p_2J_{15} - p_2.D.p_2J_{19}\right) \tag{58}$$

Using this relation, one finds that the amplitude (56) has no tachyon pole as expected. Note that the explicit form of integrals J_{19}, J_{20} show that they have tachyon poles, however, the combination $4J_{20}+p_2.D.p_2J_{19}$ which appears in the amplitude (56), can be written in terms of tachyon-free integrals J_7, J_{10}, J_{15} via the above relation. Similarly, the integrals J_6 and J_{14} have tachyon pole, however, the combination $J_6 - J_{14}$ that appears in the amplitude (56) has no tachyon pole. There is in fact the relation $J_6 - J_{14} = J_{18}$ where the integral J_{18} has no tachyon pole.

Now to compare the amplitude (56) with the corresponding terms in the amplitude (22), we have to write the amplitude (56) in terms of $*F$ and ε_1. To this end, we use the identity (51) to write the terms in (56) that have structure $A.F.*\varepsilon_1.B$ as

$$A.F.*\varepsilon_1.B \;=\; A.\varepsilon_1.*F.B - \frac{1}{2}A.B\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] \tag{59}$$

where A, B are two arbitrary vectors. The above identity can be used to rewrite all terms in (56) in terms of $*F$ and ε_1. However, the polarization of graviton ε_2 in the first and in the last terms appear between F and $*\varepsilon_1$. To rewrite them in terms of $*F$ and ε_1 we have to use the following identity:

$$\epsilon^{abcd}\epsilon^{efgh} = -\begin{vmatrix} \eta^{ae} & \eta^{af} & \eta^{ag} & \eta^{ah} \\ \eta^{be} & \eta^{bf} & \eta^{bg} & \eta^{bh} \\ \eta^{ce} & \eta^{cf} & \eta^{cg} & \eta^{ch} \\ \eta^{de} & \eta^{df} & \eta^{dg} & \eta^{dh} \end{vmatrix} \tag{60}$$

to find the relation

$$\begin{aligned} p_2.F.\varepsilon_2.*\varepsilon_1.p_2 \;=\;& p_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.V.p_2 + p_2.*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.p_2 + p_2.V.\varepsilon_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.p_2 \\ & -\frac{1}{2}p_2.V.\varepsilon_2.V.p_2\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] - \frac{1}{2}p_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.p_2\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_2.D\right] \\ & +\frac{1}{4}p_2.V.p_2\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_2.D\right] - p_2.V.p_2\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1\right] \end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

Note that the R-R polarization ε_1 has only world volume indices. Using the above relations, the amplitude (56) in terms of $*F$ and ε_1, and in terms of the matrices V, N becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \sim\;& 4k_3.\varepsilon_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.N.p_1\left(J_{11}-J_{13}\right) - p_1.N.\varepsilon_2.N.p_1(-J_{10}+J_{12}-2J_{15})\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] \\ & -4p_2.V.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.*F.p_2\left(2J_6+J_{11}+J_{13}-2J_{14}\right) - 8p_2.*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.k_3\left(-J_6+J_{14}\right) \\ & -4k_3.\varepsilon_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.V.p_2\left(-2J_6-4J_9+J_{11}+J_{13}+2J_{14}\right) + 4p_1.N.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.*F.p_2\left(J_{11}-J_{13}\right) \\ & -4k_3.\varepsilon_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.k_3\left(J_{11}+J_{13}-2J_{15}\right) - 4k_3.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.*F.p_2\left(J_{11}+J_{13}-2J_{15}\right) \\ & -2p_1.N.\varepsilon_2.V.p_2\left(J_{10}+J_{12}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] - 2k_3.\varepsilon_2.N.p_1\left(-2\left(J_7+J_8\right)+J_{10}+J_{12}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] \\ & -p_2.V.\varepsilon_2.V.p_2\left(-4J_6-8J_9-J_{10}+J_{12}+4J_{14}+2J_{15}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] \\ & -k_3.\varepsilon_2.k_3\left(4J_7-4J_8-J_{10}+J_{12}+6J_{15}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] \\ & -2k_3.\varepsilon_2.V.p_2\left(-J_{10}+J_{12}+2\left(J_7-J_8+J_{15}\right)\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right] \\ & +8p_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.k_3\left(-J_6-J_9+J_{14}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_2.V\right] \\ & +2[2p_2.k_3\left(J_7+J_{15}\right)+p_1.p_2\left(J_{10}+J_{15}\right)+2p_2.V.p_2\left(-J_6-2J_9+J_{14}+J_{15}\right)] \\ & \times\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_1\right]\mathrm{Tr}\left[\varepsilon_2.V\right] - 8p_2.V.p_2\left(-J_6-J_9+J_{14}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1\right] \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

where we have also used the on-shell relation $\varepsilon_1.V.p_1 = 0$ because we assumed the R-R potential has only world volume indices.

We can now compare the above amplitude with the amplitude (22). This amplitude in terms of the RR potential is the same as (4) in which the gauge field strength F is replaced by $(*F)$, the ε_2 is the polarization of the RR potential and ε_1 is the polarization of the graviton. For the case that the RR potential has only world volume indices, the amplitude becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A} \sim\; & -4p_1.*F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2.k_3\,(I_1-I_2+I_3)+2p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2\,(I_4+I_{10})\\ & +2k_3.\varepsilon_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.k_3\,(4I_2-2I_3-I_4-I_{10})+2p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.k_3\,(4I_2-2I_3-I_4-I_{10})\\ & +2p_1.V.\varepsilon_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.k_3\,(4I_2-2I_3-I_4-I_{10})+2k_3.\varepsilon_2.*F.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2\,(I_4+I_{10})\\ & -2p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.\varepsilon_1.V.p_1\,(I_4+2I_5+I_{10}+4I_{11})+2p_1.V.\varepsilon_1.V.p_1 I_8\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]\\ & +2p_2.N.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2 I_8\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]-4k_3.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2\,(I_8+I_{10})\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]\\ & +2k_3.\varepsilon_1.k_3\,(-4I_2+I_8+2I_{10})\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]-4p_1.V.\varepsilon_1.N.p_2\,(I_8-2I_{11})\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]\\ & +4p_1.V.\varepsilon_1.k_3\,(-2I_6+I_8+I_{10}-2I_{11})\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]+4p_1.*F.\varepsilon_2.k_3\,(I_7-I_9)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.V]\\ & +4\left(p_1.k_3I_2-(p_1.k_3+p_1.p_2+2p_1.V.p_1)\,I_{11}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.V]\\ & +4p_1.V.p_1\,(-I_7+I_9)\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_1.\varepsilon_2] \qquad (63)\end{aligned}$$

In order to have equality between the above amplitude and (62), there should be the following relation between the integrals in (62):

$$\begin{aligned}-J_6-2J_9+J_{14}+J_{15} &= 0,\; -4J_6-8J_9-J_{10}+J_{12}+4J_{14}+6J_{15}=0\\ -J_{10}+J_{12}+2J_{15} &= 0 \qquad (64)\end{aligned}$$

which are true using the explicit form of the integrals. Using the integrals in the Appendix, one finds the following relations between the integrals in amplitude (56) and the integrals in (63):

$$\begin{aligned}(J_{11}-J_{13})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= (I_4+I_{10})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (2J_6+J_{11}+J_{13}-2J_{14})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= (I_4+2I_5+I_{10}+4I_{11})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (-J_6+J_{14})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= (I_1-I_2+I_3)(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (2J_6+4J_9-J_{11}-J_{13}-2J_{14})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= (4I_2-2I_3-I_4-I_{10})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (J_{11}+J_{13}-2J_{15})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= (-4I_2+2I_3+I_4+I_{10})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (J_{10}+J_{12})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= 4(I_8-2I_{11})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (-2J_7-2J_8+J_{10}+J_{12})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= 4(I_8+I_{10})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (4J_7-4J_8-J_{10}+I_{12}+6J_{15})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= 4(4I_2-I_8-2I_{10})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (-J_{10}+J_{12}+2J_7-2J_8+2J_{15})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= 4(2I_6-I_8-I_{10}+2I_{11})(p_2,p_1,k_3)\\ (J_6+J_9-J_{14})(p_1,p_2,k_3) &= (-I_7+I_9)(p_2,p_1,k_3) \qquad (65)\end{aligned}$$

One then finds exact agreement between all terms in the two amplitudes except the term which has structure $\mathrm{Tr}\,[*F.\varepsilon_2]\,\mathrm{Tr}\,[\varepsilon_1.V]$. The equality of this term gives the following relation between the integrals:

$$\begin{aligned}&[2p_2.k_3\,(J_7+J_{15})+p_1.p_2\,(J_{10}+J_{15})](p_1,p_2,p_3)\\&=4[p_1.k_3I_2-(p_1.k_3+p_1.p_2+2p_1.V.p_1)\,I_{11}](p_2,p_1,p_3)\end{aligned}\qquad(66)$$

Using the relations $J_7+J_{15}-2I_{11}+2I_2=2(2I_2+I_3)$ and $J_{10}+J_{15}=4I_1$, one can write the above relation as

$$p_2.k_3(2I_2+I_3)+p_1.p_2(I_1+I_2)+p_2.D.p_2I_2=0\qquad(67)$$

which is the sum of the two relations in (13) in which the labels 1 and 2 are interchanged. Note that $I_1+I_2=J$, $I_3+I_2-I_1=-I_8$ and $(2I_2+I_3)(p_1,p_2,k_3)=-(2I_2+I_3)(p_2,p_1,k_3)$. Therefore, we have found precise agreement between the amplitude (22) which is predicted by the S-duality and the explicit calculations.

4.2 R-R scalar amplitude

In this section we are going to compare the amplitude (31) with explicit calculation, so we calculate the amplitude (34) for the R-R scalar, *i.e.,* $n=0$. The trace (39) for the D$_3$-brane then becomes

$$T(0,3,m)\;=\;\frac{1}{4!}C_1\epsilon_{a_0\cdots a_3}A_{[\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m]}\mathrm{Tr}(\gamma^{a_0}\cdots\gamma^{a_3}\gamma^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_m})$$

which is nonzero only for $m=4$. The amplitude for B-field becomes

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}\;\sim\;&-\frac{1}{4}T_3C_1F_{ab}\Big[-2I_8\epsilon^{acde}\,(p_2)^b\,(p_2)_e\,(\varepsilon_2)_{cd}\qquad(68)\\&+\epsilon^{abcd}\Big([J_3\,(p_1.\varepsilon_2)_d+2J_2\,(k_3.\varepsilon_2)_d+J_1\,(p_1.D.\varepsilon_2)_d]\,(p_2)_c+\frac{J_4}{2}p_2.D.p_2\,(\varepsilon_2)_{cd}\Big)\Big]\end{aligned}$$

where integral I_8 is one of the integrals that appear in (4), and the integrals $J_1,\cdots,J_4$ appear in the Appendix.

One can check that integrals in (68) satisfy the following equations:

$$J_4-J_3=-2I_1,\;\;J_3-J_1/3=8I_1/3,\;\;I_8+J_2-2J_3=-4I_1\qquad(69)$$

where I_1 is the integral that appears in (81). Moreover, the amplitude (68) satisfies the Ward identity associated with the B-field if the integrals satisfy the following equation as well:

$$(J_2+J_3-2J_4)p_1.p_2+(J_2-J_4)p_1.D.p_1+(J_1+J_2-2J_4)p_1.D.p_2=0\qquad(70)$$

Using the above four equations, one can find $J_1, \cdots, J_4$ in terms of I_1 and I_8. Then witting I_1, I_8 in terms of I_2 and I_{11} using the relations (12) and (13), one can write the amplitude (68) in terms of I_2 and I_{11}. The result in the Einstein frame is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{C^{(0)}BF} \;\sim\; & -T_3 C_1 F_{ab} e^{-\phi_0/2}\Big[Q_1 \epsilon^{acde} \left(p_2\right)^b \left(p_2\right)_e \left(\varepsilon_2\right)_{cd} \\ & +\epsilon^{abcd}\Big(\left[Q_2 \left(p_1.\varepsilon_2\right)_d + Q_3 \left(k_3.\varepsilon_2\right)_d + Q_4 \left(p_2.D.\varepsilon_2\right)_d\right] \left(p_2\right)_c + Q_5 p_2.D.p_2 \left(\varepsilon_2\right)_{cd}\Big)\Big]\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where the integrals $Q_1, \cdots, Q_5$ are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \frac{I_{11}\left(-2p_1.k_3 + 2p_1.p_2\right) p_1.D.p_1 + I_2 \left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_2.D.p_2}{4(p_1.p_2)^2} \\ Q_2 &= -\frac{I_2\, p_2.D.p_2}{2p_1.p_2} + \frac{I_{11}\left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_1.D.p_1 - I_2\left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_2.D.p_2}{4(p_1.p_2)^2} \\ Q_3 &= -\frac{I_2\, p_2.D.p_2}{2p_1.p_2} + \frac{I_{11}\, p_1.D.p_1}{2p_1.p_2} \\ Q_4 &= I_2 + \frac{I_2\, p_2.D.p_2}{4p_1.p_2} - \frac{I_{11}\left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_1.D.p_1 - I_2\left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_2.D.p_2}{8(p_1.p_2)^2} \\ Q_5 &= -\frac{I_2}{4} - \frac{I_2\, p_2.D.p_2}{8p_1.p_2} + \frac{I_{11}\left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_1.D.p_1 - I_2\left(2p_1.k_3\right) p_2.D.p_2}{16(p_1.p_2)^2} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

The scattering amplitude of one R-R n-form, one B-field and one gauge boson on the world-volume of D$_p$-brane has been also calculated in [48] (see eq.(3.20) in [48]). The integrals in that amplitude have been calculated up to $(\alpha')^2$ order. Using the expansion (11), one finds agreement between (71) and the amplitude in [48] for $p = 3$ and $n = 0$.

Now using the identity (51), one can rewrite the amplitude (71) in terms of $*F$ which can then be compared with the amplitude (31). The difference between the the amplitude (71) and the amplitude (31) becomes

$$\frac{C_1 e^{-\phi_0/2}\left(4I_2 p_1.k_3 + 2I_2 p_1.p_2 + (I_2 - I_{11}) p_1.D.p_1\right)}{p_1.p_2}\Big[2p_1.(*F).\epsilon_2.k_3 - p_1.k_3 \mathrm{Tr}\left[*F.\epsilon_2\right]\Big]$$

The expression inside the bracket is $(*F)^{ab} k_3^c H_{abc}$. Writing $H_{abc} = -\epsilon_{abcd}(*H)^d$, one finds

$$(*F)^{ab} k_3^c H_{abc} \;=\; 2F_{cd} k_3^c (*H)^d = 0 \tag{73}$$

where we have used the on-shell condition $k_3.F_d = 0$. This ends our illustration of the precise consistency between the amplitudes (31) found from imposing the S-dual Ward identity, and the explicit calculations.

5 Low energy couplings

In this section we find the low energy couplings corresponding to the dilaton S-dual multiplet. Replacing the expansion (11) in (25), one finds the following expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi BF} &= \phi_1 T_3 e^{-3\phi_0/2} F^{ab}\Big[-16\frac{e^{\phi_0/2}p_1.V.H_{ba}}{p_2.D.p_2}\left(1+\frac{2\pi^2}{3}(p_2.k_3)^2 e^{-\phi_0}\right)-\frac{4e^{\phi_0/2}p_1.H_{ba}}{p_1.p_2} \\ &\quad -\frac{4}{3}\pi^2 e^{-\phi_0}[(2p_1.N.p_2-3p_2.k_3-p_2.V.p_2)\,p_2.V.H_{ba}-p_2.V.p_2\,p_1.N.H_{ba}]+\cdots\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

where dots represent the higher order terms. There is no α'^2-order term in the massless closed string pole. This is consistent with the fact that there is no α'^2 correction to the supergravity. The $(\alpha')^0$-order massless poles should be reproduce by the supergravity couplings in the bulk and the DBI couplings on the brane. Using the following standard coupling in the type IIB supergravity in the Einstein frame [7]:

$$\int d^{10}x\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{H}^T_{\mu\nu\rho}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{H}^{\mu\nu\rho} \tag{75}$$

where $\mathcal{H}=d\mathcal{B}$, and the standard brane coupling $T_3B^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ resulting from expansion of the DBI action

$$S_{DBI} = -T_3\int d^4x\sqrt{-\det(g_{ab}+e^{-\phi/2}(B_{ab}+2\pi\alpha' F_{ab}))} \tag{76}$$

one finds the massless closed string pole in (74). The leading term of the massless open string pole in (74) is reproduced by the contact term $T_3\phi B^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ and by the massless pole resulting from the brane couplings $T_3\phi F^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ and $T_3B^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$.

The α'^2-order term of the massless open string pole must be reproduced by the higher derivative of the above couplings. While the coupling $T_3B^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ has no higher derivative correction, the coupling $T_3\phi F^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ has higher derivative correction which is given by the α' expansion of the scattering amplitude of one dilaton and two gauge fields [49, 50]. In the Einstein frame, it is

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\phi FF} &\sim T_3 e^{-\phi_0}\phi_1 F_{ab}F^{ab}\frac{\Gamma(1-2te^{-\phi_0/2})}{[\Gamma(1-te^{-\phi_0/2})]^2} \\ &\sim T_3 e^{-\phi_0}\phi_1 F_{ab}F^{ab}\left(1+\frac{\pi^2}{6}t^2e^{-\phi_0}+2t^3\zeta(3)e^{-3\phi_0/2}+\cdots\right) \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

where the Mandelstam variable is $t=-2k_2.k_3$. Since the structure of the $(\alpha')^0$-order and the $(\alpha')^2$-order terms of the massless open string pole in (74) are the same, one concludes that the higher derivative of the contact term $T_3\phi B^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ must be the same as the higher derivative of the coupling $T_3\phi F^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ which can be found from (77) to be $2\pi^2T_3\phi\partial^c\partial^dF^{ab}\partial_c\partial_dF_{ab}e^{-2\phi_0}/3$. Hence the higher derivative of $T_3\phi B^{ab}F_{ab}e^{-\phi_0}$ must be

$2\pi^2 T_3\phi\partial^c\partial^d B^{ab}\partial_c\partial_d F_{ab}e^{-2\phi_0}/3$. These higher derivative terms then reproduce the α'^2 terms in the massless pole in (74). The other terms in (74) are only contact terms.

Therefore, the contact terms of one dilaton, one B-field and one gauge boson in the momentum space and in the Einstein frame are

$$\begin{aligned} L &= 2\pi T_3 e^{-\phi_0} B_{ab}F^{ab}\phi + \frac{4\pi^3}{3}T_3 e^{-2\phi_0}\Big[(p_2.k_3)^2 B_{ab}F^{ab}\phi \\ &\quad +\alpha\, F^{ab}\phi\Big(\ (2p_1.N.p_2 - 3p_2.k_3 - p_2.V.p_2)\, p_2.V.H_{ba} - p_2.V.p_2\, p_1.N.H_{ba}\Big)\Big] + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

where L is the D$_3$-brane action in the momentum space. There is a delta function imposing the conservation of momentum along the brane and the mesure $\int dp_i$ for each field which we have dropped. The dots refer to the other couplings and to the higher momentum of the above couplings. In above action, p_1 is the momentum of dilaton, p_2 is the momentum of B-field and k_3 is the momentum of the gauge field. The first term is coming from the expansion of DBI action. The constant factor α has been added because we have not fix the overall numeric of the string theory amplitudes. It is important to note that the presence of the second term in (78) depends on the presence of similar term at the leading order.

Using the same steps for all other components (26),(27), (31), (32) and (33), one finds the terms corresponding to the second line of (78) for all these components. However, the terms corresponding to the second term in the first line of (78) exist only for those components which have couplings at $(\alpha')^0$-order as well. The D-brane action at order $(\alpha')^0$ has only two components of the multiplet (30), *i.e.,* the first term which is coming from the DBI action and the fourth term which is coming from the Chern-Simons part of the D$_3$-brane action. Therefore, the contact terms corresponding to the dilaton S-dual multiplet is given by the following action in the momentum space:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= 2\pi T_3 B_{ab}[e^{-\phi_0}F^{ab}\phi + (*F)^{ab}C] + \frac{4\pi^3}{3}T_3 e^{-\phi_0}\Big[(p_2.k_3)^2 B_{ab}[e^{-\phi_0}F^{ab}\phi + (*F)^{ab}C] \\ &\quad +\alpha\,(*\mathcal{F}^T)^{ab}\delta\mathcal{M}\Big(\ (2p_1.N.p_2 - 3p_2.k_3 - p_2.V.p_2)\, p_2.V.\mathcal{H}_{ba} - p_2.V.p_2\, p_1.N.\mathcal{H}_{ba}\Big)\Big] + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Each term in the second line represent six different terms according to the expansion (30). Unlike the terms in the first line, the terms in the second line are invariant under the S-duality, apart from the overall dilaton factor. This factor may be extended to the regularized non-holomorphi Eisenstein series $E_1(\phi_0, C_0)$ after including the loops and the non-perturbative effects [26, 27, 28]. To make the couplings to be invariant under the gauge transformation $\delta B = d\Lambda$, $\delta A = -\Lambda/4\pi$, one has to replace $4\pi F$ and B with $B + 4\pi F$.

Finally, let us compare the couplings (78) with the couplings that have been found in [51, 48] for the $C^{(0)}BF$ component. The couplings in eq.(3.33) of [48] in the momentum space and for D_3-brane in string frame is

$$\mathcal{L}^{(4)}_{CBA} = i\frac{\pi^3}{6}C\epsilon^{a_1a_2a_3a_4}\Big[-4i(p_2.k_3)^2 B_{a_1a_2}F_{a_3a_4} - 2p_2.k_3\, p_2.V.H_{a_1a_2}F_{a_1a_2}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+2p_2.k_3\, H_{a_1a_2b}k_3^bF_{a_3a_4} + p_2.V.p_2\, H_{a_1a_2b}k_3^bF_{a_3a_4} \\
&-\frac{2}{3}p_2.V.p_2\, H_{a_1a_2a_3}p_2^bF_{ba_4} - \frac{4}{3}p_2.k_3\, H_{a_1a_2a_3}p_2^bF_{ba_4} \\
&+2p_1.N.p_2\, p_2.V.H_{a_1a_2}F_{a_3a_4} - p_2.V.p_2\, p_1.N.H_{a_1a_2}F_{a_3a_4}\Big]
\end{aligned}
\qquad (80)
$$

where we have used our convention that $\alpha' = 2$ and the gauge invariant combination of B and F is $B + 2\pi\alpha' F$. The terms in the second line are zero using the relation (73). Using the identity (51), one can rewrite the terms in the third line as

$$
-2p_2.V.p_2\, p_2.V.H_{a_1a_2}(*F)^{a_1a_2} - 4p_2.k_3\, p_2.V.H_{a_1a_2}(*F)^{a_1a_2}
$$

Then it is easy to verify that the couplings (80) reduces to the corresponding couplings in (79). This fixes the constant factor to be $\alpha = -i/4$.

Acknowledgments: This work is supported by Ferdowsi University of Mashhad under grant 2/200085-1390/09/27.

Appendix: Some Integrals

In this appendix, we write the integrals that appear in the explicit calculation of the amplitudes (1) and (68). The following integrals appear in amplitude (1):

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \frac{K}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} \\
I_3 &= \frac{K}{z_{12}z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}} - \frac{2K}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{2}}} + \frac{K}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{2}}} + \frac{K}{z_{31}z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} \\
I_4 &= \frac{x_3^2\left(z_{1\bar{1}}+z_{2\bar{2}}\right) - z_2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + 2x_3\left(-z_1z_2+\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2\right) + z_1\left(-\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + z_2\left(\bar{z}_1+\bar{z}_2\right)\right)}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{32}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
I_5 &= \frac{K}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}\Big(-x_3^2z_1^2 + x_3^2z_1z_2 + z_1^2z_2z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} + 2x_3z_1^2\bar{z}_1 + x_3^2z_2\bar{z}_1 \\
&\quad -4x_3z_1z_2\bar{z}_1 - x_3^2\bar{z}_1^2 + 2x_3z_1\bar{z}_1^2 - 2z_1^2\bar{z}_1^2 + z_1z_2\bar{z}_1^2 + x_3^2z_1\bar{z}_2 - 2x_3^2z_2\bar{z}_2 + 2x_3z_1z_2\bar{z}_2 \\
&\quad +x_3^2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 - 4x_3z_1\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + z_1^2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + 2x_3z_2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + z_1\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2 - z_2\bar{z}_1^2\bar{z}_2\Big) \\
I_6 &= \frac{-z_2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + x_3^2\left(z_{12}-z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\right) + 2x_3\left(z_2\bar{z}_1 - z_1\bar{z}_2\right) + z_1\left(-z_2\bar{z}_1 + \left(z_2+\bar{z}_1\right)\bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{31}z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}}K \\
I_7 &= \frac{-z_2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + x_3^2\left(z_{12}-z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\right) + 2x_3\left(z_2\bar{z}_1 - z_1\bar{z}_2\right) + z_1\left(-z_2\bar{z}_1 + \left(z_2+\bar{z}_1\right)\bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
I_8 &= \frac{z_{2\bar{2}}}{z_{12}z_{32}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
I_9 &= \frac{x_3^2\left(z_{1\bar{1}}+z_{2\bar{2}}\right) - z_2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + 2x_3\left(-z_1z_2+\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2\right) + z_1\left(-\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + z_2\left(\bar{z}_1+\bar{z}_2\right)\right)}{z_{31}z_{32}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}}K \\
I_{10} &= \frac{-z_2\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + x_3^2\left(z_{12}-z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\right) + 2x_3\left(z_2\bar{z}_1 - z_1\bar{z}_2\right) + z_1\left(-z_2\bar{z}_1 + \left(z_2+\bar{z}_1\right)\bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{32}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Using these integrals, one can verify the relations (12) which have been found by imposing the Ward identity on the amplitude (4). The above integrals, however, do not appear in the final form of the amplitude (14).

The integrals in the R-R amplitude (68) are

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= \frac{z_1z_2 - 4z_1\bar{z}_1 + 3z_2\bar{z}_1 + \left(3z_1 - 4z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right)\bar{z}_2}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
J_2 &= \frac{\left(x_3\left(z_{12}+z_{1\bar{2}}\right) + 2z_2\bar{z}_2 - z_1\left(z_2+\bar{z}_2\right)\right)\left(z_2\left(\bar{z}_1 - 2\bar{z}_2\right) + \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + x_3\left(z_{2\bar{1}} + z_{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right)\right)}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{32}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
J_3 &= \frac{z_2\left(\bar{z}_1 - 4\bar{z}_2\right) + 3\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + z_1\left(3z_2 - 4\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
J_4 &= \frac{z_2\left(\bar{z}_1 - 2\bar{z}_2\right) + \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 + z_1\left(z_{2\bar{1}} + z_{\bar{2}\bar{1}}\right)}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{2\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Using the above integrals, one can verify the relations in (69). The above integrals, however, do not appear in the final form of the amplitude (71). The following integrals appear in the amplitudes in section 4.1:

$$I = \frac{\frac{1}{z_{32}^2 z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} - \frac{1}{z_{12} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}}^2}}{z_{1\bar{1}}} K$$

$$J_0 = \frac{z_1 \left(z_2 - 2\bar{z}_1\right) + z_2 \bar{z}_1 + x_3 \left(z_1 - 2 z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right)}{z_{12} z_{31} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_5 = \frac{z_{3\bar{2}}}{z_{31} z_{32} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{2\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_6 = \frac{\left(x_3^2 \left(z_{12} + \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2\right) - z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + 2 x_3 \left(-z_1 \bar{z}_1 + z_2 \bar{z}_2\right) + z_1 \left(z_2 z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2\right)\right)^2}{z_{12} z_{31} z_{32}^2 z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}}^2 z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_7 = {} & \Big(-z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \left(z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1 - 2\bar{z}_2\right) + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2\right) + 2 z_1 \bar{z}_2 \left(z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 - \left(z_2^2 + z_2 \bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_1^2\right) \bar{z}_2\right) \\ & + x_3 \Big(z_2 \left(z_1^2 z_2 + 2 z_1 z_2 \bar{z}_1 + \left(-4 z_1 + z_2\right) \bar{z}_1^2\right) - 4 z_{2\bar{1}} \left(z_1^2 + z_2 \bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_2 \\ & - \left(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2 + 2 z_1 \left(-2 z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right)\right) \bar{z}_2^2\Big) + x_3^2 \Big(-z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + 2 z_1 \left(-z_2^2 + z_2 \bar{z}_1 + z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} \bar{z}_1\right) \\ & + \left(2 z_2^2 - \bar{z}_1^2\right) \bar{z}_2 + 2 \left(-z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_2^2 + z_1^2 \left(z_2 - 2\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right)\Big) \\ & + z_1^2 \left(-2 \bar{z}_1^2 \bar{z}_2 + z_2^2 \left(-2\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right) + z_2 \left(2 \bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{z}_2^2\right)\right)\Big) K / \left(z_{12} z_{31} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{2\bar{2}} z_{3\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_8 = {} & \Big(-z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \left(z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1 - 2\bar{z}_2\right) + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2\right) + z_1^2 \left(-2 z_2 \bar{z}_1^2 + \left(z_2^2 + 2 \bar{z}_1^2\right) \bar{z}_2 + \left(z_2 - 2\bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_2^2\right) \\ & + 2 z_1 z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2^2 + z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1^2 - \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_2^2\right)\right) + x_3^2 \Big(z_2 \left(2 z_2 - \bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_1 - \left(2 z_2^2 + \bar{z}_1^2\right) \bar{z}_2 + 2 z_2 \bar{z}_2^2 \\ & + z_1^2 \left(z_2 - 2\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right) + 2 z_1 \left(-z_2 \bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_1^2 + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_2^2\right)\Big) + x_3 \Big(z_1^2 \left(-z_2^2 + 4 z_2 z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}} + \bar{z}_2^2\right) \\ & + \bar{z}_1 \left(-z_2^2 \bar{z}_1 + 4 z_2 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + \left(-4 z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_2^2\right) + 2 z_1 \left(-z_2^2 \left(\bar{z}_1 - 2\bar{z}_2\right) + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \left(-2\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right)\right)\Big)\Big) K \\ & / \left(z_{12} z_{31} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{2\bar{2}} z_{3\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$J_9 = \frac{z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1 - 2\bar{z}_2\right) + \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_1 \left(z_2 - 2\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{12} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{10} = \frac{z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1 - 4\bar{z}_2\right) + 3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_1 \left(3 z_2 - 4\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{12} z_{31} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{2\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{11} = \frac{z_2 \left(\bar{z}_1 - 4\bar{z}_2\right) + 3 \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 + z_1 \left(3 z_2 - 4\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2\right)}{z_{12} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{12} = \frac{z_1 z_2 - 4 z_1 \bar{z}_1 + 3 z_2 \bar{z}_1 + \left(3 z_1 - 4 z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_2}{z_{12} z_{31} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{2\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{13} = \frac{z_1 z_2 - 4 z_1 \bar{z}_1 + 3 z_2 \bar{z}_1 + \left(3 z_1 - 4 z_2 + \bar{z}_1\right) \bar{z}_2}{z_{12} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{14} = \frac{z_{31} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{2\bar{2}}^2}{z_{12} z_{32}^2 z_{2\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}}^2 z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{15} = J = \frac{z_{1\bar{1}}}{z_{12} z_{31} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{1}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$J_{17} = -J_{16} = \frac{z_{2\bar{2}}}{z_{12} z_{32} z_{2\bar{1}} z_{3\bar{2}} z_{1\bar{2}} z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}} K$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{18} &= \left(\left(z_1\left(z_2-2\bar{z}_1\right)+z_2\bar{z}_1+x_3\left(z_{12}-z_{2\bar{1}}\right)\right)\left(-2z_1\bar{z}_1+x_3\left(z_1+\bar{z}_1-2\bar{z}_2\right)+\left(z_1+\bar{z}_1\right)\bar{z}_2\right)\right)K \\
&\quad /\left(z_{12}z_{31}z_{32}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{2}}z_{1\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}\right) \\
J_{19} &= \frac{\left(z_2\left(\bar{z}_1-2\bar{z}_2\right)+\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2+z_1\left(z_2-2\bar{z}_1+\bar{z}_2\right)\right)^2}{z_{12}z_{31}z_{2\bar{1}}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{2}}^2z_{1\bar{2}}z_{\bar{1}\bar{2}}}K \\
J_{20} &= \frac{K}{z_{31}z_{3\bar{1}}z_{1\bar{1}}z_{2\bar{2}}^2}
\end{aligned}
\tag{83}
$$

Using the above integrals, one can find the relations between the integrals that appear in section 4.1.

References

[1] A. Font, L. E. Ibanez, D. Lust and F. Quevedo, Phys. Lett. B **249**, 35 (1990).

[2] S. J. Rey, Phys. Rev. D **43**, 526 (1991).

[3] A. Sen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 3707 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9402002].

[4] A. Sen, Phys. Lett. B **329**, 217 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9402032].

[5] J. H. Schwarz, arXiv:hep-th/9307121.

[6] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B **438**, 109 (1995) [arXiv:hep-th/9410167].

[7] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. H. Schwarz, "String theory and M-theory: A modern introduction," *Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Pr. (2007) 739 p*

[8] M. R. Garousi, JHEP **1111**, 016 (2011) [arXiv:1106.1714 [hep-th]].

[9] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, Nucl. Phys. B **498**, 195 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9701093].

[10] M. B. Green and P. Vanhove, Phys. Lett. B **408**, 122 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9704145].

[11] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and P. Vanhove, Phys. Lett. B **409**, 177 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9706175].

[12] E. Kiritsis and B. Pioline, Nucl. Phys. B **508**, 509 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9707018].

[13] M. B. Green, M. Gutperle and H. h. Kwon, Phys. Lett. B **421**, 149 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9710151].

[14] B. Pioline, Phys. Lett. B **431**, 73 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9804023].

[15] M. B. Green and S. Sethi, Phys. Rev. D **59**, 046006 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9808061].

[16] M. B. Green, H. h. Kwon and P. Vanhove, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 104010 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910055].

[17] N. A. Obers and B. Pioline, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 1215 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910115].

[18] A. Sinha, JHEP **0208**, 017 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0207070].

[19] N. Berkovits, JHEP **0409**, 047 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0406055].

[20] E. D'Hoker and D. H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B **715**, 3 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0501197].

[21] E. D'Hoker, M. Gutperle and D. H. Phong, Nucl. Phys. B **722**, 81 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0503180].

[22] M. B. Green and P. Vanhove, JHEP **0601**, 093 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0510027].

[23] M. B. Green, J. G. Russo and P. Vanhove, JHEP **0702**, 099 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0610299].

[24] A. Basu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 106003 (2008) [arXiv:0708.2950 [hep-th]].

[25] A. Basu, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 106004 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1252 [hep-th]].

[26] C. P. Bachas, P. Bain and M. B. Green, JHEP **9905**, 011 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9903210].

[27] A. Basu, JHEP **0809**, 124 (2008) [arXiv:0808.2060 [hep-th]].

[28] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Lett. B **701**, 465 (2011) [arXiv:1103.3121 [hep-th]].

[29] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 126019 (2011) [arXiv:1108.4782 [hep-th]].

[30] M. R. Garousi, arXiv:1109.5555 [hep-th].

[31] J. Cohn, D. Friedan, Z. -a. Qiu and S. H. Shenker, Nucl. Phys. B **278**, 577 (1986).

[32] A. Fotopoulos, JHEP **0109**, 005 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104146].

[33] M. R. Garousi and R. C. Myers, Nucl. Phys. B **475**, 193 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9603194].

[34] A. Hashimoto and I. R. Klebanov, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **55B** (1997) 118 [arXiv:hep-th/9611214].

[35] S. Stieberger, arXiv:0907.2211 [hep-th].

[36] Y. Kitazawa, Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 599 (1987).

[37] I.S. Gradshteyn and I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series and Products, Academic Press 1994.

[38] T. Huber and D. Maitre, Comput. Phys. Commun. **175**, 122 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0507094].

[39] M. Billo, P. Di Vecchia, M. Frau, A. Lerda, I. Pesando, R. Russo and S. Sciuto, Nucl. Phys. B **526**, 199 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802088].

[40] H. Liu and J. Michelson, Nucl. Phys. B **614**, 330 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0107172].

[41] M. R. Garousi and E. Hatefi, JHEP **0903**, 008 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4216 [hep-th]].

[42] M. R. Garousi and M. Mir, JHEP **1102**, 008 (2011) [arXiv:1012.2747 [hep-th]].

[43] G. W. Gibbons and D. A. Rasheed, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 46 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9509141].

[44] A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **469**, 51 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602064].

[45] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, Phys. Lett. B **377**, 28 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9602077].

[46] D. Hartl, O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger, Nucl. Phys. B **834**, 163 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5168 [hep-th]].

[47] D. Haertl and O. Schlotterer, Nucl. Phys. B **849**, 364 (2011) [arXiv:1011.1249 [hep-th]].

[48] K. Becker, G. Guo and D. Robbins, arXiv:1110.3831 [hep-th].

[49] A. Hashimoto and I. R. Klebanov, Phys. Lett. B **381**, 437 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9604065].

[50] M. R. Garousi and R. C. Myers, Nucl. Phys. B **542**, 73 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9809100].

[51] M. R. Garousi and M. Mir, JHEP **1105**, 066 (2011) [arXiv:1102.5510 [hep-th]].